

Item 4

**Contra Costa ALUC
Past/Pending Project Review Log**

Project Initiation	File#/Name Location (Lead Agency)	Project Description	ALUC Approval (Staff or Commission)
May 2023	AC23-00001 APN: 125-020-058 (Contra Costa County/ Unincorporated Pacheco)	Proposed "Concord Cascade Solar Installation" which includes installation of a roof-mounted solar photovoltaic ("PV") system on an existing building and three new carport structures over existing parking lots within an existing residential community.	Staff: 6/7/23
May 2022	LP22-2017 APN: 001-041-064 (Contra Costa County/ Unincorporated Byron)	Proposed second residence on an 85-acre site.	Staff: 5/17/22
	LP22-2013 APN: 001-061-007 (Contra Costa County/ Unincorporated Byron)	Proposed industrial hemp farm on an existing 300-acre site (18-acres within Contra Costa County and 282-acres within Alameda County).	Commission: 6/16/22 <i>(ALUC Determination Letter sent 6/17/22)</i>
April 2022	DP22-3012 APN: 002-200-021, -014 (Contra Costa County/ Unincorporated Byron)	Byron Hot Springs Hotel. Improvements include only structural restoration of the existing hotel building to prevent further deterioration (i.e., no building footprint expansion) and filling two manmade ponds (Pond 1: 53,424 cubic yards of fill and Pond 2: 50,099 cubic yards) from the previous golf course. Once improvements are completed the hotel will not be in operation or open to the public.	Staff: 4/20/22
December 2021	AC21-0006 APN: (multiple) (City of Concord)	Proposed John Muir Concord Medical Center Seismic Replacement and Modernization Project which includes demolition of several existing hospital buildings and construction of new buildings, expansion of parking areas with new photovoltaic solar canopies, and a new helipad.	<i>Commission: 11/17/22 (ALUC Determination Letter sent 11/18/22)</i>
	PR21-2011 APN: 002-210-020 (Contra Costa County/ Unincorporated Byron)	Preapplication review for the proposed expansion of an existing wood, green waste, concrete, and asphalt recycling facility ("Organics Recycling Facility") to include a food, green waste, and mulching operation on approximately 20-acre site	Staff: 1/4/22 <i>(Note: Preapplication comments only)</i>
October 2021	LP21-2042 APN: 001-041-057, -058 (Contra Costa County/ Unincorporated Byron)	Proposed commercial (not open to the public) green material composting facility on approximately 30 acres of a 50-acre site.	<i>In process (ALUC hearing 12/16/21, letter sent to County staff)</i>

ALUC = Airport Land Use Commission
ALUCP or Plan = Contra Costa Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan

Updated 6/7/23

**Contra Costa ALUC
Past/Pending Project Review Log**

Project Initiation	File#/Name Location (Lead Agency)	Project Description	ALUC Approval (Staff or Commission)
August 2021	AC21-0004 APN: 126-325-004 (City of Concord)	Future Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram of Concord. Future Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram of Concord is planning to move their current new auto dealerships and related service operations from 4901 Marsh Road to 2121 Diamond Blvd. (former auto dealership). To accommodate their new location, they will be remodeling the existing building currently occupied by ServiceMaster.	Staff: 8/23/21
June 2021	AC21-0003 APN: 159-140-042 (Contra Costa County/ Unincorporated Martinez)	Contra Costa Central Sanitary District ("Central San") proposes construction and operation of a 1.75-megawatt solar facility on approx. 8.2 acres of a 48-acre parcel (APN 150-140-042), which is owned by Central San.	Commission: 8/19/21
May 2021	LP21-2016 APN: 125-046-009 (Contra Costa County/ Unincorporated Pacheco)	Proposed 65' digital display sign (billboard), replacing existing 45' static billboard.	Withdrawn: 7/19/22
April 2021	LP21-2011 APN: 002-190-002 (Contra Costa County/ Unincorporated Byron)	1,500 ton per day construction and demolition debris recycling operation with products sold on and off-site (no proposed storage of hazardous materials).	Staff: 5/11/21
March 2021	AC21-0002 APN: 126-010-033 (City of Concord)	Proposed 4,460 square foot building addition to an existing automobile dealership (Concord Nissan) on a 3.06-acre site.	Commission: 4/15/21
	AC21-0001 APN: 126-030-037 (City of Concord)	Proposed 12,670 square foot building expansion rooftop solar and commercial solar carport installations (totaling approximately 29,586 square feet) at the existing BMW Concord Dealership on a 5.25-acre site.	Commission: 4/15/21
November 2020	LP20-2028, LP20-2029 APN: 002-210-019, 002-210-025 (Contra Costa County/ Unincorporated Byron)	Proposed commercial photovoltaic ("PV") solar facilities on two sites (6.5-acre PV facility on a 10-acre parcel and 35-acre PV facility on a 126.48-acre parcel).	Commission: 12/17/20

Item 5.a.



Department of Conservation and Development

Airport Land Use Commission

Thursday, October 26, 2023 – 6:00 P.M.

STAFF REPORT

Agenda Item # 5.a

Project Title:	Report on Outreach for Potential Regional Airport Planning Committee ("RAPC")
ALUC File #:	n/a
Lead Agency:	Contra Costa Airport Land Use Commission
Applicant/Owner:	n/a
Site Address/Location:	n/a
Staff Recommendation(s):	(See Section II)
List of Exhibits:	Exhibit A: Interest Letter (6/30/23) Exhibit B: List of Agencies Exhibit C: Agency Responses
Staff Contact:	Jamar Stamps, AICP, (925) 655-2917

I. PROJECT SUMMARY

The Contra Costa ALUC initiated a discussion with other Bay Area ALUCs and interested parties in creating a regional airport land use planning body, similar to the former Metropolitan Transportation Commission RAPC. The Contra Costa ALUC solicited feedback on the concept through a letter to various agencies. This report summarizes the results of that outreach.

II. RECOMMENDATION

ACCEPT report on outreach for a potential regional airport planning committee and DIRECT ALUC staff as appropriate.

III. BACKGROUND

June 30, 2023, the Contra Costa ALUC disseminated a letter (Exhibit A) to initially 21 agencies seeking input from Bay Area ALUCs and other interested agencies on

the concept of reconstituting the MTC RAPC or other similarly formatted body. The purpose would be to create a regional group that could participate in collaborative discussions about issues (e.g., unmanned aerial vehicles (“UAV”), solar energy systems, wind turbines, power plants, drones, and safe fuels/batteries, etc.) that may affect general aviation and airport planning. A list of agencies is provided as Exhibit B.

Four responses were received by the September 29, 2023 deadline as well as comments from the City of Concord shortly thereafter. Each agency response is provided in Exhibit C. A summary of those responses is provided as follows:

California Pilots Association (Doug Rice, Regional Vice President)

- Coincidentally, the California Pilots Association sent a letter to MTC urging they resume the RAPC. Their letter indicates the RAPC provided a valuable function of coordinating centralized information and resources to the Bay Area Aviation community. Urban Airborne Mobility (“UAM”) was also mentioned as an area of concern warranting the presence of a group like the RAPC.

Department of Transportation, Aeronautics Program (Matt Friedman, Chief, Office of Aviation Planning)

- The Aeronautics Division stated they encourage and support efforts to provide more participation and collaboration amongst Bay Area partners and ALUCs. They also would be interested in having a representative(s) from the Aeronautics Division participate.

Metropolitan Transportation Commission (Matt Maloney, Director, Regional Planning)

- MTC acknowledges and appreciates the issues facing Bay Area aviation. However, there are areas of concern they suggest be addressed if this effort were to continue to be pursued.
 - *Connection to Plan Bay Area:* Plan Bay Area is the Bay Area’s Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy that sets the vision for implementation of housing and economic development and transportation in the Bay Area. Aviation and airport land use issues are not Plan priorities.
 - *Define the scope and the outcomes:* MTC requested more clarity about the priority topics that would be deliberated on, the desired

deliverables, and the “value proposition” of this potential effort. It should be clearer what the ultimate purpose of a body like a “Regional ALUC” would be.

- *Identify staff and budget for the effort:* MTC strongly encourages identifying meaningful sources of funding and staff assistance that could be provided to support this effort.

Alameda County Airport Land Use Commission (Olivia Ortiz, Planner and ALUC staff, Community Development Agency)

- Alameda ALUC indicated interest in the information sharing aspect of this effort, particularly on the issue of “electric air vehicles.” However, they are wary of committing to an effort like this without seeing the full scope of the effort and committing to additional meetings.

City of Concord (Laura Hoffmeister, Mayor)

- The City supports the idea if it is being done for information exchange but does not want it to remove any authority from the Contra Costa ALUC.

IV. DISCUSSION

Based on responses there seems to be general interest in the concept of establishing a regional body to discuss airport land use and aviation issues. There are also concerns about this body’s ultimate scope, purpose, and availability of support resources. Most of those comments came from agencies other than ALUCs, which unfortunately may also signal tepid interest. The lack of response from a majority of the Bay Area ALUCs should be considered in determining next steps. The Contra Costa ALUC’s options moving forward may include, but are not limited to:

1. Continue pursuing this effort by drafting a purpose statement, scope of work, and funding plan.
2. Consider pursuing this effort later when more support can be obtained.
3. Table this effort due to lack of support.

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the responses provided and available resources, the Contra Costa ALUC will need to consider options moving forward and direct ALUC staff as appropriate.

Exhibit A

Airport Land Use Commission

c/o Department of Conservation & Development

30 Muir Road
Martinez, CA 94553

Phone: 1-855-323-2626

Contra Costa County



John Kopchik
Director

Jason Crapo
Deputy Director

MaureenToms
Deputy Director

Deidra Dingman
Deputy Director

Ruben Hernandez
Deputy Director

Gabriel Lemus
Assistant Deputy Director

June 30, 2023

TO: Airport Land Use Commission staff & Interested Agencies

RE: Reformatting the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (“MTC”) Regional Airport Planning Committee (“RAPC”): Consideration of interest in a “Regional ALUC”

Dear Airport Land Use Commission staff & Interested Agencies,

The specific aim of this letter is to gauge interest among Bay Area ALUCs and interested agencies in reconstituting the currently dormant Metropolitan Transportation Commission (“MTC”) Regional Airport Planning Committee (“RAPC”) as intended, into a more General Aviation (“GA”) focused “Regional ALUC.”

Background

Per the RAPC’s webpage (<https://mtc.ca.gov/about-mtc/committees/interagency-committees/regional-airport-planning-committee-rapc>) on the MTC website, the RAPC “oversees the periodic development and updating of the Regional Airport System Planning Analysis, which recommends policies for both commercial and general aviation airports. Airports and the Federal Aviation Administration use these recommendations when preparing airport master plans and environmental documents for proposed airport improvements.”

The RAPC’s most recent analysis (*Regional Aviation Activity Tracking Report*, 2012) (https://mtc.ca.gov/sites/default/files/Airport_Tracking_Report.pdf) recommended long-range aviation strategies for Bay Area aviation needs through 2035. These interests, as part of the core mission to the MTC, are outside of the purview of any one Bay Area ALUC. However, the synergy available to a wider ALUC collaborative group, unlike what the RAPC was, is a valuable consideration going forward. Also, many of those strategies have been accomplished and the plan for the RAPC is to be taking a forward look into issues that have implications on general aviation. Some issues that would benefit from regional coordination in the aviation community include but are not limited to: unmanned aerial vehicles (“UAV”), solar energy systems, wind turbines, power plants, drones, and safe fuels/batteries.

By way of background, the Contra Costa ALUC recently discussed with MTC staff the possibility of reactivating the RAPC. Per MTC staff, the RAPC is not currently active, and their last substantive work was in the 2011/2012 period (i.e., developing the Regional Aviation Activity Tracking Report). In 2014 the RAPC decided that it would only reconvene in the future on an ad hoc basis.

Discussion

We are all challenged by issues that affect the GA airports and a platform to harmonize our respective efforts would be a valuable resource going forward. This is especially beneficial when there are certain issues, legislation, etc., that require attention from the aviation community and collaboration and group consideration could help ease adaptation to, or implementation of these issues.

While MTC staff also indicated there has been some interest from other parties in reconstituting this committee, there would be a resource issue for MTC (budget, administrative, and staffing). MTC indicated they are not currently set up to administer the RAPC but are open to ideas. The Contra Costa ALUC is sympathetic to the resource issue; however, we feel there is substantial value in a regional GA airport/aviation committee by sharing information with peers and colleagues.

Goals: Near Term

To help the Contra Costa ALUC gauge interest in an ad hoc formation of a Regional ALUC, we would like to know:

1. Would your agency be interested in participating in a Regional ALUC and could your agency benefit from participating?
2. What types of airport land use and aviation issues do you feel would benefit from regional collaboration?

If you have any interest in supporting the reactivation of the Regional ALUC (i.e., RAPC), please provide Jamar Stamps, Contra Costa ALUC staff, **your response by Friday, September 29, 2023**, at (925) 655-2917 or by e-mail at jamar.stamps@dcd.cccounty.us. If there is enough support, I would like to convene a virtual meeting of the Bay Area ALUC managers and interested agencies to discuss the above questions and potential next steps.

Sincerely,

Geoff Logan

Geoff Logan, Chair
Contra Costa Airport Land Use Commission

cc: Contra Costa ALUC

Exhibit B

Metropolitan Planning Commission (“MTC”) Regional Airport Planning Committee (“RAPC”)

Agency List

	Agency	Contact	Response/Notes
1.	Alameda County ALUC	Elizabeth McElligott 224 W. Winton Avenue, Room 111 Hayward, CA 94554 (510) 670-6120 elizabeth.mcelligott@acgov.org	
2.	Marin County ALUC	Michele Damazyn 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 308 San Rafael, CA 94903 (415) 473-6269 MDamazyn@marincounty.org	
3.	Napa County ALUC	Sean Trippi 1195 3rd Street, Room 210 Napa, CA 94559 (707) 299-1353 sean.trippi@countyofnapa.org	
4.	San Mateo ALUC (includes SFO)	Susy Kalkin 455 County Center, 5th Floor Redwood City, CA 94063 (650) 599-1467 kkalkin@smcgov.org	
5.	Santa Clara ALUC	Carl Hilbrants 70 W. Hedding Street, 7th Floor San Jose, CA 95110 (408) 299-5781 carl.hilbrants@pln.sccgov.org	
6.	Solano County ALUC	Nedzlene Ferrario 675 Texas Street, Suite 5500 Fairfield, CA 94533 (707) 784-6765 nferrario@solanocounty.com	

Metropolitan Planning Commission (“MTC”) Regional Airport Planning Committee (“RAPC”)

Agency List

7.	Sonoma County ALUC	Melody Richitelli 2550 Ventura Avenue Santa Rosa, CA 95403 (707) 565-7387 Melody.Richitelli@sonoma-county.org ALUC@sonoma-county.org	
8.	Caltrans Division of Aeronautics	1120 N Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 654-4959 Aeronautics@dot.ca.gov	
9.	East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservancy	Abigail Fateman, Executive Director 30 Muir Road Martinez, CA 94553 925-655-2908 abigail.fateman@dcd.cccounty.us	
10.	Association of California Airports	Mary Hansen P. O. Box 629 Marysville, CA 95901 (530) 682-8926 mhansenapt@gmail.com	
11.	California Pilots Association	1809 S Street, Suite 101-254 Sacramento, CA 95811 (800) 319-5286	
12.	Contra Costa Transportation Authority	John Hoang, Director of Planning 2999 Oak Road, Suite 100 Walnut Creek, CA 94597 (925) 256-4729 jhoang@ccta.net	

Metropolitan Planning Commission (“MTC”) Regional Airport Planning Committee (“RAPC”)

Agency List

13.	Alameda County Transportation Commission	Kristen Villanueva, Director of Planning 1111 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, CA 94607 (510) 208-7457 kvillanueva@alamedactc.org	
14.	Transportation Authority of Marin	Derek McGill, Director of Planning 900 Fifth Avenue, Suite 100 San Rafael, CA 94901 (415) 226-0825	
15.	Napa Valley Transportation Authority	Danielle Schmitz, Director of Capital Development & Planning 625 Burnell Street Napa, CA 94559 (707) 259-5968 dschmitz@nvta.ca.gov	
16.	San Mateo County Transportation Authority	Patrick Gilster, Director of Planning and Fund Management 1250 San Carlos Ave. P.O. Box 3006 San Carlos, CA 94070 (650) 508-6200 GilsterP@samtrans.com	
17.	Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	3331 North First Street San Jose, CA, 95134 (408) 321-2300 customer.service@vta.org	

Metropolitan Planning Commission (“MTC”) Regional Airport Planning Committee (“RAPC”)

Agency List

18.	San Francisco County Transportation Authority	Rachel Hiatt, Deputy Director for Planning 1455 Market Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 522-4809 info@sfcta.org	
19.	Solano Transportation Authority	Robert Guerrero, Director of Planning 423 Main Street Suisun City, CA 94585 (707) 424-6075 rguerrero@sta.ca.gov	
20.	Sonoma County Transportation Authority	Chris Barney, Director of Planning 411 King Street Santa Rosa, CA 95404 (707) 565-5373 info@scta.ca.gov	
21.	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	Matt Maloney Director, Regional Planning (415) 778-5220 mmaloney@bayareametro.gov	

Exhibit C

September 21, 2023

Members of the Santa Clara County Airport Commission:

The Santa Clara County ALUC is in receipt of a letter from the Contra Costa County ALUC regarding the reestablishment of the Regional Airport Planning Committee (RAPC) under the authority of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC).

This group provided planning documents and coordination regarding airport development throughout the Bay Area. It included representation from Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) and Bay Conservation Development Commission (BCDC) as well as a representative of the Airport Managers, the FAA, and others.

Following this letter are communications CalPilots has had with MTC over the past year suggesting the reactivation of the group. CalPilots is strongly in favor of reviving the commission given the changes coming to aviation in the Bay Area over the next several years and we urge you to support this effort.

Douglas Rice
Regional Vice-President - CalPilots

The following letter was sent on 6/27/23:

Regional Airport Planning Committee (RAPC)

For many years, the RAPC provided a valuable function of coordinating centralized information and resources to the Bay Area Aviation community. The studies conducted provided insight into the value of our aviation infrastructure and looked beyond the political agendas of local elected officials to realistically forecast future needs.

Unfortunately, the MTC has abandoned the RAPC at a critical juncture - that being the imminent initiation of AAM (Urban Airborne Mobility) operations within the Bay Area. We are now approximately 24 months from initiation of these operations which will significantly impact both the current aviation infrastructure (airports and heliports) as well as influence the development of new areas of operation around the bay.

It is both timely and necessary that MTC resume their role as the lead organization within the region as a clearinghouse for policy and investment in this rapidly growing area within aviation. The facilities necessary to support this development are multi-faceted - requiring infrastructure that currently does not exist and operational needs which will significantly impact the electric grid.

One of your directors has repeatedly denied that these aircraft will be ready for operation for another decade, but there are billions of dollars invested (much of it within our own region) that are betting against her. Their aircraft are currently in pre-certification testing with the intention of starting operations in late 2024 or early 2025. We need only look at the plan for the 2024 Paris Olympics where multiple operators intend to conduct AAM operations.

I urge you to address this void and reactivate the RAPC at the earliest possible date.

Thank you for attention to this matter.
Sincerely,

Douglas Rice
Regional Vice-President - CalPilots

The following letter was sent on 11/22/2022:

Hi Doug-

The RAPC is not currently active. Its last substantive work was in the 2011/2012 period, and my understanding is this group last met in 2014 and decided that it would only reconvene in the future around action items.

There has been some recent interest here and there in reconstituting this committee, however doing so would require considerable preparation among numerous parties, including securing the appropriate budget, administrative, and staffing resources. MTC is not currently set up to administer it, but we are open to ideas on this front.

Thanks
Matt

Matt Maloney
Director, Regional Planning
mmaloney@bayareametro.gov | [415-778-5220](tel:415-778-5220)

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Association of Bay Area Governments
Bay Area Metro Center
375 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2022 11:34 AM
To: Elizabeth Bugarin <ebugarin@bayareametro.gov>
Subject: RAPC

External Email

Can you please advise what the current status of the Regional Airport Planning Committee is and if they are still meeting?

Thank you

Doug Rice
Regional Vice-President - CalPilots

From: [Friedman, Matthew L@DOT](mailto:Friedman.Matthew.L@DOT)
To: [Jamar Stamps](mailto:Jamar.Stamps)
Cc: [Martinez, Tiffany@DOT](mailto:Martinez.Tiffany@DOT)
Subject: Regional ALUC Coordination
Date: Thursday, September 28, 2023 10:16:38 AM

Good Morning Jamar,

I am responding on behalf of Caltrans Aeronautics Program, Office of Planning. Caltrans Aeronautics encourages and supports these efforts to provide more participation and collaboration amongst Bay Area partners and ALUCs. We would be interested in having a representative(s) from Aero participate in a Regional ALUC Group to provide advisory and technical guidance, as well as provide updates from the Caltrans as needed. These efforts also would rightfully attract more attention and support by the MTC for aviation integration into the overall Bay Area transportation system. It would serve a Regional ALUC Group well to discuss common issues/topics such as encroachment and land use in the Bay Area, supporting general aviation, funding and grants, addressing climate efforts, and emerging technologies such as advanced air mobility to name a few.

We look forward to hearing the updates on these efforts, please keep us Tiffany Martinez <tiffany.martinez@dot.ca.gov> and Matt Friedman <matthew.friedman@dot.ca.gov> informed on the efforts moving forward.

Matt Friedman, MRP, MAHL, DDiv (Hon)
Chief, Office of Aviation Planning
Aeronautics Program
Caltrans
1120 N St.
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916)521-4743

From: [Matt Maloney](#)
To: [Jamar Stamps](#); [Business Aviation Insurance](#)
Cc: [Alix Bockelman](#)
Subject: RE: Consideration of interest in a "Regional ALUC"
Date: Friday, September 29, 2023 11:21:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Hello Geoff and Jamar:

We are in receipt of your letter about establishing a regional "ALUC." I know today is your deadline for receiving comments, so I wanted to offer a few thoughts from MTC's perspective.

As your letter notes, MTC has convened a Regional Airport Planning Committee (RAPC) in the past, though this group has not met in a decade. At that time, there was conversation about the purpose and need for the group and whether MTC was the appropriate entity to convene it.

We acknowledge and appreciate the numerous issues faced by the Bay Area's airports. As you know, these issues expand beyond land use to include infrastructure, accessibility, technological change, greenhouse gas emissions mitigation, and resilience to natural hazards including sea level rise, among other challenges.

There are a few key points I would ask our airport partners to consider in the potential resuscitation of this effort:

- **Connection to Plan Bay Area.** MTC's work is guided by a Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy called "Plan Bay Area." These Plans are updated every 4 years. The most recent plan- [Plan Bay Area 2050](#)- was adopted in 2021. The Plan includes 35 strategies spanning the issues of transportation, housing, economy, and the environment. When conducting our extensive public outreach for this Plan (which was done before and after the COVID pandemic), airport land use and accessibility issues did not "rise to the top" as priorities for regional attention and focus. We would encourage your engagement with the [Plan Bay Area 2050+](#) process (which is in progress now). Plan Bay Area 2050+ is due for completion in 2025. This is ideal venue for becoming engaged in voicing support for priority issues that require regional attention.
- **Define the scope and the outcomes.** MTC desires more clarity about the priority topics that would be deliberated on, the desired deliverables, and the "value proposition" of this potential effort. We are confused why the letter suggests the need for a "Regional ALUC." Obviously, there are already County ALUCs that serve an important purpose. How would this body interact with those existing bodies? The letter suggests "the synergy available to a wider ALUC collaborative group, unlike what the RAPC was." What does this mean and why does a reconstituted ALUC hold such promise? The letter also suggests that this forum could be a venue for discussing pending legislation. Regarding legislative issues, MTC and ABAG already convene a standing committee- a Joint Legislative Committee- that meets monthly and makes recommendations to both regional boards. It is unclear to MTC staff how a potential new body would interface with these standing entities in a way that adds value.

- **Identification of staff and budget for the effort.** Establishing a group like this- and making it worthwhile- is extremely time-intensive, requiring the budgeting and expenditure of considerable staff and financial resources. MTC currently has no staff or budget dedicated to these issues. We have existing planners and legislative staff that are already overwhelmed with tackling issues like the housing crisis and the transit fiscal cliff. We strongly encourage the ALUCs to identify meaningful sources of funding and staff assistance that could be provided to stand up this effort.

Thank you for your consideration of these thoughts, and we are happy to discuss further if you like.

Matt

Matt Maloney
Director, Regional Planning
mmaloney@bayareametro.gov | 415-778-5220

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Association of Bay Area Governments
Bay Area Metro Center
375 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

From: [Ortiz, Olivia, CDA](#)
To: jstam@cd.cccounty.us
Subject: Alameda County ALUC
Date: Tuesday, October 10, 2023 9:41:45 AM

Hi Jamar,

I wanted to follow up with you after we had our ALUC meeting yesterday. Of the 4 members present, they were wary of committing to being part of a thing without a full shape yet and wary of additional meetings. However, there's definitely interest in benefitting from others' case studies as things come up, and one commissioner is keen on the issue of electric air vehicles and their potential impacts on land uses (though we haven't had any projects related to this). The same commissioner, Andrew Wilson, mentioned some interest in pushing CalTrans to edit the state handbook more regularly – has the handbook come up in any of your discussions? I know there's some motion right now to edit the state's handbook, though I'm not too familiar with the project. Our ALUC is curious to hear how the other ALUCs have reacted and would like me to keep them abreast of what your ALUC decides to do next.

Thanks,

Olivia Ortiz (they/them)
Planner, Policy Planning Team
ALAMEDA COUNTY • Community Development Agency • Planning Department
224 W. Winton Avenue, Room 111, Hayward, CA 94544
510.670.6523 • olivia.ortiz@acgov.org • www.acgov.org/cda



City of Concord 1950 Parkside Drive, Concord, California 94519
cityofconcord.org • cityinfo@cityofconcord.org • 925-671-3158

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

City Council

Laura M. Hoffmeister, Mayor

Edi E. Birsan, Vice Mayor

Dominic Aliano

Laura M. Nakamura

Carlyn S. Obringer

Patti Barsotti, City Treasurer

Valerie J. Barone, City Manager

October 11, 2023

Jamar Stamps
Contra Costa County Airport Land Use Commission
30 Muir Road
Martinez, CA 94553
Jamar.stamps@dcd.cccounty.us

Re: Contra Costa Airport Land Use Commission

Dear Jamar Stamps,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment on the possibility of reforming a Regional Airport Land Use Committee.

The City of Concord supports the idea of creating such a committee if it is being done for information exchange between the various airport land use committees in the Bay Area. It is important to the City of Concord that the creation of the Regional Committee **not remove** any authority from the Contra Costa Airport Land Use Committee.

Thank you,

Laura Hoffmeister
Mayor

cc: Concord City Council
Valerie Barone, City Manager
Justin Ezell, Assistant City Manager
Joelle Fockler, Concord City Clerk