

Meeting of the North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee Joint Expenditure Planning Committee

Friday, June 3, 2016
2:00 pm – 4:00 pm

Richmond City Hall - Council Chambers | 440 Civic Center Plaza | Richmond, CA 94801

Members:

Edwardo Martinez, **Chair** - Richmond City Councilmember
Beverly Scott, **Vice Chair** - NRMAC Representative: Unincorporated Area
Jovanka Beckles, Member - Richmond City Councilmember
Dr. Henry Clark, Member - NRMAC Representative: Unincorporated Area
John Gioia, Member - Contra Costa County Supervisor
Gayle McLaughlin, Member – Richmond City Councilmember
VACANT SEAT – Richmond Incorporated Area Resident

Meeting Agenda:

1. Welcome and Introductions.
2. Public Comment on any item not on the agenda (*not to exceed 3 minutes*)
3. *APPROVE* the February 19, 2016 Meeting Minutes.
4. *RECEIVE* update on Tonnage Revenue data.
5. *DISCUSS* and *APPROVE* the following elements of the 2016/2017 Expenditure Plan (EP) and include as part of the 2016/2017 Expenditure Plan to be recommended for adoption by the Richmond City Council and County Board of Supervisors:
 - a. Funding allocations for each Strategy within the Expenditure Plan;
 - b. Funding allocations to select specific non-profit organizations for Strategy 9, including advance payment;
 - c. Funding allocations of selected non-profits for Strategy 12, including advance payment; and
 - d. All remaining elements of the 2016/2017 Expenditure Plan.
6. *DISCUSS* re-establishing Youth Twilight Basketball League.
7. *Receive Presentation(s)* – Verbal update(s) about mitigation funded strategies from the Community Services Coordinator and others, including currently funded non-profits.
8. *ADJOURN* to next regularly scheduled meeting – Friday, February 17th 2017 (2pm – 4pm).

Agendas, meeting notes and other information regarding this committee can be found online at:

www.cccounty.us/nr

Meeting materials will be made available for public inspection, during business hours at 450 Civic Center Plaza in Richmond, within 96 hours of meeting date and time.

The North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee Joint Expenditure Planning Committee will provide reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities planning to attend the Committee's meeting.

*Please call or e-mail the following Committee staff person at least 72 hours before the meeting:
Lori Reese-Brown - City of Richmond, (510) 620-6869, lori_reese-brown@ci.richmond.ca.us*

North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee Joint Expenditure Planning Committee *Meeting Minutes*

*Friday, February 19, 2016
2:00 pm – 4:00 pm*

Richmond City Hall - Council Chambers | 440 Civic Center Plaza | Richmond, CA 94801

Members:

Dr. Henry Clark, **Chair** - NRMAC Representative: Unincorporated Area
Jovanka Beckles, **Vice Chair** - Richmond City Councilmember
Edwardo Martinez, Member - Richmond City Councilmember
John Gioia, Member - Contra Costa County Supervisor
Gayle McLaughlin, Member – Richmond City Councilmember
Beverly Scott, Member - NRMAC Representative: Unincorporated Area
VACANT SEAT – Richmond Incorporated Area Resident

Members Present:

Edwardo Martinez, Member - Richmond City Councilmember
Robert Rogers (Alternate) John Gioia, Member - Contra Costa County Supervisor
Gayle McLaughlin, Member – Richmond City Councilmember
Beverly Scott, Member - NRMAC Representative: Unincorporated Area

Meeting Agenda:

1. Welcome and Introductions.

Meeting called to order at 2:11. Martinez motioned to move item 7 to the top of the agenda. McLaughlin seconded the motion. Motion approved unanimously (4 Ayes).

7. *NOMINATE and ELECT Chairperson and Vice Chairperson pursuant to Committee Bylaws.*

Committee staff indicated that the last Chairperson nomination occurred two years ago on February 21st, 2014, and that the Committee Bylaws require the Committee to elect a Chairperson and Vice Chairperson every two years. Current Chairperson, Dr. Clark, and Vice Chairperson, Jovanka Beckles, have served two consecutive terms and the Bylaws state a member may serve no more than two consecutive full terms in each position unless otherwise authorized by a majority vote of the Committee.

McLaughlin moved to nominate Edwardo Martinez as the new chair and Beverly Scott as the new vice chair. Rogers seconded. Motion approved unanimously (4 Ayes).

2. Public Comment on any item not on the agenda (*not to exceed 3 minutes*)

There were two public speaker cards. The first speaker, Cordell, is a Richmond ESC member. Cordell advertised an upcoming competitive grant program informational meeting. The second speaker, Stephanie, represented The Artisan Hub. Stephanie spoke about an Artisan Hub initiative called Net Zero in Five. This program aims to make communities net zero over the course of 5 years. Stephanie informed the committee of alternative waste solutions that could be placed in north Richmond and hopes the committee would consider a new net zero waste facility.

3. *APPROVE* the June 12, 2015 Meeting Minutes.

Motion was moved to approve the June 12, 2015 minutes by Rogers. Motion seconded by Scott. Motion approved unanimously (4 Ayes)

5. *RECEIVE* the following reports:

a. Committee Board Advisory Body Annual Report – 2015;

Staff provided an update to Committee members about 2015 accomplishments. Staff also informed the committee that the Mayor's office wants to have Annie King-Meredith represent the Incorporated North Richmond Resident seat. Paperwork needs to be processed and the Mayor's office still needs to take this to the city council before it is formally adopted.

b. Tonnage & Revenue Update;

Staff informed Committee members of projected 2014/2015 revenue and actual 2014/2015 revenue, which was a surplus of \$13,840.66. Staff also provided an update of the 2015/2016 projected revenue. Actual 2015/2016 revenue to date shows an average surplus of \$979.79 per month, however staff informed the committee that these higher numbers are not entirely positive. A revenue spike in August has kept funds stable, but if the August report was similar to previous months, we would be in a deficit of roughly \$36,000.

Staff believes 2016/2017 projected revenue is also higher than expected and 2016/2017 will most likely end in a deficit. McLaughlin asked if we still had a shortfall this year even with the revenue spike. Staff indicated an expected deficit of \$18,000. McLaughlin asked how a deficit will impact the Committee. Staff informed committee members that the contingency line item is what will be impacted, and that the committee will have to decide on how much money to put in contingency to cover shortfalls. Martinez asked if shortfalls could be caused by better recycling programs. Staff said not necessarily, but that it could be one of many reasons.

c. Expenditure Plan Strategy Implementation Update status reports;

Staff provided a summary update of data collected over the 2015 calendar year. Disposal voucher use had dropped 12%, however vouchers were not distributed for part of 2015. Total reported illegal dumping occurrences has increase 7%. Tons of trash disposed at neighborhood clean ups has decreased. Illegal dumping locations abated and tons of illegal dumps removed has increased. Staff noted the City and County Code Enforcement teams were doing excellent work. Staff also informed the committee that surveillance camera are in maintenance and will be operational in March. No cases were reported via cameras. Staff also informed Committee members that non-profits for Strategy 9 (Community Based Projects) and Strategy 12 (Community Garden Projects) have included final progress reports in the Implementation Update Status Report. Martinez requested that staff include the data percentages in the next expenditure plan. Staff said they will include data in the next plan.

d. Summary Close-Out of Expenditures to date – 2013/2014 Expenditure Plan.

Staff informed committee members that \$31,656.50 is obligated funds for ongoing community based projects and Community Garden Projects. Staff also mentioned that \$14,272.25 had already been spent in the prior fiscal year under Strategy 9, which leaves a new strategy 9 balance of \$41,190.40. Staff explained to the committee that there is a \$12,451.67 deficit under Strategy 8 (Community Services Coordinator) because expenditures were higher than what was budgeted. Staff requested that committee allow staff to use contingency funds to offset deficit under Strategy 8. Martinez motioned to direct staff to make the necessary amendments so that the budget can be cleaned out. McLaughlin seconded. Motion approved unanimously (4 ayes). Staff closed by discussing unobligated rollover funds that will be rolled over into the 2016/2017 budget.

5. *RECEIVE* Tonnage Revenue Projections data and *PROVIDE* direction to Committee Staff about the proposed development of the 2015/2016 Expenditure Plan.

Staff updated committee members of the 2016 projected revenue and 2014/2015 unobligated funds available for the 2016/2017 Expenditure Plan. Staff provided committee members two 2016/2017 budgets scenarios. Scenario 1 is no change to the current 2015/2016 budget. Scenario 2 is the same for all strategies except for a \$425.00 increase to the bulky item strategy and only \$50,000 in the contingency. Each scenario has additional funds available for Committee members to recommend on how distribute for the 2016/2017 Expenditure Plan.

Staff expects a deficit of \$48,000 at the end of the 2015/2016 fiscal year. Staff recommended at least \$60,000 in the contingency to be safe. McLaughlin asked about the additional funds available. Staff informed members that this money was funds from previous strategies that wasn't spent that can now be allocated into new strategies or put into the contingency budget. Rogers stated that scenario 1 is the more fiscally conservative and that the additional funds available could be used towards strategies 9 & 12. Martinez clarified that scenario 1 is more conservative because of the high amount in the contingency budget. Scott asked if money can be reallocated half way through the year once we have more data on actual revenue. Staff recommended budgets be completed on fiscal year cycles and not reevaluated halfway through the year. Rogers wanted to confirm that \$50,000 would be enough for the expected deficit. Staff informed committee that it could but that \$60,000 would be a more comfortable cushion.

McLaughlin recommended we utilize scenario 2 and put \$10,000 from the \$37,171.26 additional available funds into the contingency and that the remaining 27,171.26 be placed in other strategies. Staff recommended it would be better to place the remaining 27,000 in strategies 9 or 12 to support non-profit funding. Staff informed members that they didn't have to decide, but that they do need to provide direction. McLaughlin motioned to utilize scenario 2, add 10,000 of the additional funds available to raise the contingency to \$60,000 and the remaining \$27,171.26 additional funds available be added into the community based projects strategy. Rogers seconded. Motion approved unanimously (4 Ayes)

6. *RECEIVE* Request For Proposal scorings and *PROVIDE* direction to Committee Staff about which organizations to fund under Strategies 9 & 12 for the 2016/2017 Expenditure Plan.

Staff advised the committee that an RFP for strategies 9 and 12 was released, which was requested by the committee at their meeting in February 2015, to select non-profits for funding for the 2016/17 fiscal year Expenditure Plan. Staff referred to the printout that scores all the proposals for strategies 9 and 12 and informed members that 7 proposals for each strategy were submitted and scored by Committee staff. Staff went over each proposal on the scoring sheet and explained the status of each non-profit. One applicant, Men and Women of Valor, did not have an active 501(c)(3) status when the proposal was submitted. Scott asked how proposals were submitted and what the stance on accepting late proposals is. Staff informed members it was through email and that in the past this committee has approved late submittals. Martinez noted that if the 501(c)3 status was not active that it would not be wise to approve funding for a non-profit that doesn't have an active 501(c)(3) status. Rogers was grateful for the scoring sheet and wanted to know if this was the time to discuss which nonprofits should be awarded funding. Staff informed committee they could, however they could also review the proposals over the next few months and decide in the May meeting.

Staff informed members we only have till 4 pm and there are three speakers for this item. Speaker one, Pam Bilbo, from Men and Women of Valor, spoke about her 501(c)(3) status and believes it is currently active. The second speaker, Luis Chaves, urban tilth representative, wanted to clarify that Love Your Block is the applicant organization for the lavender farm and Urban Tilth is only the fiscal agent. The third speaker, City of Richmond staff, Rochelle monk, spoke after Chaves to inform the committee that the city of Richmond is the applicant for the tool lending library and lavender garden and that the fiscal agent for both would be Urban Tilth.

Martinez wanted clarification on how the love your block grant and mitigation fee would work in parallel. Monk explained that the lavender farm and tool lending library would be receiving dual funding from both the Mitigation Fee Committee and Cities of Service Grant.

Martinez noted there were no more speakers and Committee members and moved on to review strategy proposals. Committee members deliberated over Men and Women of Valor's suspended 501(c)(3) status and general rules regarding late submission. Staff indicated they could extend the deadline from 5pm to 11:59pm for future requests. Committee members indicated that change be made. Martinez suggested reviewing strategy 12 proposals and returning to strategy 9 after.

Members reviewed strategy 12 and Scott opened by saying this funding's purpose is to support existing neighborhood gardens. Committee members identified the existing gardens, which include Urban Tilth, CURME & Davis Chapel. Members voiced concern that neither the two Davis Chapel applications, nor the Contra Costa Service Integration Team proposal pertain to garden projects. Representatives from each non-profit organization were asked to provide clarification regarding their proposals. Davis Chapel representative, Anne King-Meredith said the Art and Math program is to beautify the existing garden. King-Meredith said the classes and signage would benefit the garden by teaching residents about keeping north Richmond clean. Members did not see the nexus between the proposals and strategy 12. King-Meredith continued to explain that the program would focus on maintaining the garden through art and math programs. Members thanked King-Meredith and said they started to understand the proposal. SIT's representative mentioned that they were a previously funded garden. Rogers indicated the challenge is limited funding and the number of projects requesting funds. Members asked North Richmond how this garden project would differ from the North Richmond Green Project. Carla, said the difference would be to hire residents on a stipend basis to ensure residents are provided jobs. Scott asked if this was an existing garden previously funded by the mitigation fee committee. Neighborhood House indicated that it was not previously funded. McLaughlin thought that previously funded gardens should receive a majority of funding. Scott stated we should eliminate Lavender farms. McLaughlin agreed and said the two Davis Chapel proposals should be combined into one. Committee members continued to deliberate about funding allocations. McLaughlin stated Neighborhood House already receives funding. Martinez stated that's another strategy/project. Rogers motioned to take 5,000 from the available additional 27,171.26 to increase strategy 12's budget to \$55,000 and provide \$15,000 to CURME, Neighborhood House & Urban Tilth and \$5,000 to Davis Chapel and SIT. Scott mentioned that Davis Chapel is one of our existing gardens and Neighborhood House is not.

Rogers restated the Motion to fund both CURME & Urban Tilth \$15,000 each, Neighborhood House & Davis Chapel \$10,000 each, and \$5000 to SIT. Scott Seconded. Motion approved unanimously. (4 Ayes)

8. *Receive Presentation(s)* – Verbal update(s) about mitigation funded strategies from the Community Services Coordinator and others.

Due to time constraints, staff advised that presentations could be given at the next meeting. Martinez then called the meeting to close.

9. *ADJOURN* to next regularly scheduled meeting – Friday, May 27th 2016 (2pm – 5pm).

Martinez called the meet to close at 4:00pm.

North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee Payments

Date Received	Time Period	Amount Received	Processible Tons	Solid Waste Tons
8/31/2015	Jul-15	\$ 49,808.62	9,912	11,287
10/7/2015	Aug-15	\$ 66,184.87	26,346	11,454
11/6/2015	Sep-15	\$ 53,708.21	11,252	12,019
12/7/2015	Oct-15	\$ 53,640.73	10,180	12,288
1/6/2016	Nov-15	\$ 50,854.47	10,052	11,542
2/1/2016	Dec-15	\$ 56,148.35	13,048	12,219
2/29/2016	Jan-16	\$ 56,858.83	11,330	12,536
3/28/2016	Feb-16	\$ 56,145.08	11,688	12,244
	Mar-16			
	Apr-16			
	May-16			
	Jun-16			

Total - Actual YTD (FY 2015/16)	\$	443,349.16	103,808	95,588
Total - July thru Dec 2015 Projected	\$	324,466.50		
Monthly Average - Actual	\$	55,418.65	12,976	11,949

Total - Projected (FY 2015/16)	\$	648,933.00	74,240	144,300
Monthly Average - Projected	\$	54,077.75	6,187	12,025

Revenue Surplus/(Shortfall) Projections for FY 2015-16	\$	16,090.74		
Actual Monthly Average Surplus (YTD)	\$	1,340.90		

North Richmond Waste and Recovery Mitigation Fee

2016-2017 Expenditure Plan Budget Worksheet Allocation to Finalize

#	Strategy	Scenario 1 ^a	Recommended by Committee Feb 2016
1	Bulky Item Pick-ups & Disposal Vouchers	\$ 1,575.00	\$ 2,000.00
2	Neighborhood Clean-ups	\$ 10,500.00	\$ 10,500.00
3	Prevention Services Coordinator	\$ 30,870.00	\$ 30,870.00
4	Right-of-Way Pick-up & Tagging Abatement	\$ 17,850.00	\$ 17,850.00
5	Code Enforcement - County	\$ 97,196.40	\$ 97,196.40
6	Illegal Dumping Law Enforcement	\$ 186,046.88	\$186,046.88
7	Surveillance Cameras	\$ 2,835.00	\$ 2,835.00
8	Community Services Coordinator	\$ 63,258.14	\$ 63,258.14
9	Community-Based Projects	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 122,171.26
10	North Richmond Green Community Service Programs	\$ 41,090.00	\$ 41,090.00
11	North Richmond Green Campaign	\$ 10,500.00	\$ 10,500.00
12	Neighborhood Community Garden Project(s)	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 55,000.00
X	Committee Administration/Staffing	\$ 61,140.22	\$ 61,140.22
8%	Contingency (<i>Reserve for Revenue Shortfall</i>)	\$ 57,851.07	\$ 60,000.00
Total of 2015/2016 Allocations		\$ 730,712.71	\$ 760,457.90
Total 2016-17 Projected Revenue		\$ 644,351.50	\$ 644,351.50
2014-15 Unobligated Funds Available for 2016-17 EP ¹		\$ 116,106.40	\$ 116,106.40
Total to be allocated in 2016-17 EP Budget		\$ 760,457.90	\$ 760,457.90
Additional Funds Available		\$ 29,745.19	\$ -

^a **Scenario 1** - Amounts shown in this scenario reflect what was allocated in the 2015/16 Expenditure Plan budget (current fiscal year budget). With no change to this budget, an additional \$29,745.19 would be available to allocate to various strategies.

Attachment 2 - Community Based Projects Table (Strategy 9)

2016/2017 Expenditure Plan Funding Allocations for Projects recommended for City/County approval by the North Richmond Mitigation Fee Committee

In February 2016, the NRMF Committee recommended an allocation of **\$122,171.26 for 2016/17 Community Based Projects**. Allocation of funding will be based on a Funding Request Proposal released on January 12, 2016 by Committee Staff and Proposals that were submitted by eligible non-profit organizations on February 2, 2016. The project selections, funding recommendations and number of non-profits selected are To Be Determined (TBD) by the Committee and expected to be recommended to specific non-profits at their meeting on June 3, 2016 for the 2016/17 Expenditure Plan.

<i>New Community Based Projects Recommended for Funding in 2016/2017</i>								
Organization / Fiscal Sponsor <i>(if applicable)</i>	Project Title	Advance Payment Allowed (Up to 10% of Implementer Award Amount) Yes/No	Requested Amount	Total Award Amount	County Contracting Cost to Contract with CHDC ¹	CHDC Contracting Cost (20%) to Manage Non-Profits	Non-Profit Implementer Award Amount for Project	Notes
TBD	TBD							Selected organization(s) may be asked to submit scaled-back versions of their Scope of Work describing what element(s) of their selected project they are proposing to complete with the amount available.
TBD	TBD							
TBD	TBD							
TBD	TBD							
TBD	TBD							
Total Funding Requested/Allocated				\$ 122,171.26	\$ -	\$ -		

¹ Costs to have 3rd party organization (CHDC) manage and oversee contracts with Organizations selected for funding is up to twenty (20) percent (%) of award amount after first taking out City/County Contracting cost for \$3,000 for City/County to contract directly with CHDC to have CHDC administer non-profit contracts.

**NORTH RICHMOND MITIGATION FEE - 2016/2017 Community Based Project Funding Requests for
One-Time Community Based Projects (Strategy 9) Requesting Funding**

The following table summarizes Committee Staff evaluations of 2016/17 Community Based Project (CBP) Funding Requests submitted for the One-Time Community Based Projects Requesting Funding in response to the Funding Request Proposal & Application Guidelines for CBP released by the North Richmond Mitigation Fee Committee staff on January 12, 2016 with a proposal application deadline of 5pm on February 2, 2016.

One-Time Community Based Projects Requeing Funding													
Applicant Organization	Fiscal Sponsor	Project Title	Project Description	Dollar Amount Requested (approximate)	Advance Payment Requested - Up to 10% of Implementer Award	Application Submitted on Time	Number of Submittal Requirements Missing (0=Complete/Eligible)	ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS (based on Submittal Requirements in the Funding Request Guidelines)	SCORES (based on Evaluation Criteria in the Funding Request Guidelines)			Combined Scores Ranking	
									County Staff	City Staff	Total City & County Staff		
City of Richmond	City of Richmond	Love Your Block	Engage residents and organizations to participate in a Love Your Block event, which will provide resources to residents and beautify Chesley Avenue	\$ 20,000.00	No	yes	0	MISSING SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS - None	90	97	187	1	
Davis Chapel Christian Methodist Episcopal Church	Davis Chapel Christian Methodist Episcopal Church	Davis Chapel Community Impact	Regular community forums to educate residents, as well as neighborhood clean ups and gardening trainings	\$ 30,000.00	Yes	yes	3	MISSING SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS - (1) Most recent (current) IRS Form 990 (2) Written agreement with Fiscal Sponsor, (3) Fiscal Sponsor's Proof of 501 (c)(3) status. NOTE* CHDC administers non-profit funding and is ineligible to act as a Fiscal Sponsor.	72	92	164	2	
Reach Fellowship International	Reach Fellowship International	North Richmond Cleanup Project	Clean up North Richmond and host quarterly workshops to educate the public about illegal dumping	\$ 30,000.00	Yes	yes	2	MISSING SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS - (1) Contact info for applicant, (2) Proposal questions incomplete--did not complete Section E. (Describe the tasks your project is expected to accomplish to address the problems you identified in Section D.)	68	94	162	3	
Urban Tilth	Urban Tilth	Richmond Tool Lending Library	Develop a mobile tool lending library that provides residents access to tools to beautifytheir homes and the neighborhood	\$ 29,943.00	Yes	yes	0	MISSING SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS -Although no submittal requirements were missing the following three (3) documents were outdated: (1) Most recent(current) IRS Form 990, (2) Proof of 501(c)(3), and (3) written authorization signed by property owner	64	96	160	4	
City of Richmond	City of Richmond	Shields Reid Restroom	Provide restroom facility at Shields Reid Park	\$ 100,000.00	No	yes	1	MISSING SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS - (1) Did not complete Section D. (Project Description and Concept), Section J.1. (Responsibilities for Job titles), Section K.iv. (Clear, measurable outcomes) and description of proposed tasks in the application	58	99	157	5	
Verde Eco-Stewards Voyage	West Contra Costa Public Education Fund	Verde Eco-Stewards Voyage	Incorporates illegal dumping into school curriculum, develops illegal dumping documentary and fosters learning through technology such as robotics	\$ 30,521.00	No	yes	5	MISSING SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS - (1) Contact info for applicant, (2) Copy of California Business Portal Printout (3) Written agreement with Fiscal Sponsor (4) Fiscal Sponsor's proof of 501(c)(3) status (5) copy(ies) of letter(s) from applicant or fiscal sponsor's past funder	73	83	156	6	
Men & Women of Valor	Men & Women of Valor	Community Working Together	Encourage residents to report illegal dumping through signage, participating in North Richmond Clean Ups and mascot visits to schools	\$ 30,000.00	Yes	No 5:02pm 2/2/16	6	MISSING SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS - (1) Application submitted late, (2) Most recent (current) IRS Form 990, (3) Copy of California Business Portal Printout, (4) Proof of 501(c)(3) status is expired, (5) Proposal questions incomplete--unclear what tasks are and did not provide "Total amounts allocated to Task 2", (6) Authorization Letter(s) from Property Owner(s)	58	95	153	7	
Contract Administration (Up to 20% of Total Award Amount)													
Total Funding Requested				\$ 270,464.00									



[Secretary of State Main Website](#)

[Business Programs](#)

[Notary & Authentications](#)

[Elections](#)

[Campaign & Lobbying](#)

Business Entities (BE)

Online Services

- [E-File Statements of Information for Corporations](#)
- [Business Search](#)
- [Processing Times](#)
- [Disclosure Search](#)

[Main Page](#)

[Service Options](#)

[Name Availability](#)

[Forms, Samples & Fees](#)

[Statements of Information](#)
(annual/biennial reports)

[Filing Tips](#)

[Information Requests](#)
(certificates, copies & status reports)

[Service of Process](#)

[FAQs](#)

[Contact Information](#)

Resources

- [Business Resources](#)
- [Tax Information](#)
- [Starting A Business](#)

Customer Alerts

- [Business Identity Theft](#)
- [Misleading Business Solicitations](#)

Business Search - Results

Data is updated to the California Business Search on Wednesday and Saturday mornings. Results reflect work processed through Friday, May 27, 2016. Please refer to [Processing Times](#) for the received dates of filings currently being processed. The data provided is not a complete or certified record of an entity.

- [Select an entity name below to view additional information.](#) Results are listed alphabetically in ascending order by entity name.
- For information on checking or reserving a name, refer to [Name Availability](#).
- For information on ordering certificates, copies of documents and/or status reports or to request a more extensive search, refer to [Information Requests](#).
- For help with searching an entity name, refer to [Search Tips](#).
- For descriptions of the various fields and status types, refer to [Field Descriptions and Status Definitions](#).

Results of search for " MEN AND WOMEN OF VALOR " returned 1 entity record.

Entity Number	Date Filed	Status	Entity Name	Agent for Service of Process
C3449794	03/09/2012	ACTIVE	MEN & WOMEN OF VALOR	PAMELA SAUCER-BILBO

[Modify Search](#)

[New Search](#)

[Privacy Statement](#) | [Free Document Readers](#)

Copyright © 2016 California Secretary of State

Attachment 4 - Neighborhood Community Garden Projects (Strategy 12)

Funding Allocations for New 2015/16 Neighborhood Community Garden Projects recommended for City/County approval by the North Richmond Mitigation Fee Committee

In February 2016, the NRMF Committee recommended an allocation of **\$55,000 for Neighborhood Community Garden Projects**. The Committee recommended allocation of this funding based on a Funding Request Proposal released on January 12, 2016 by Committee Staff and Proposals submitted by eligible non-profit organizations by February 2, 2016. The project selections and funding recommendations made by the Committee are shown in the below Table.

New Neighborhood Community Garden Projects Recommended for Funding in 2016/2017								
Implementing Entity / Fiscal Sponsor (if applicable)	Project Title	Advance Payment Allowed (Up to 10% of Implementer Award Amount) Yes/No	Requested Amount	Total Award Amount	County Contracting Cost with CHDC¹	CHDC Contracting Cost (20%) to Manage Non-Profits	Non-Profit Implementer Award Amount for Project	Notes
Communities United Restoring Mother Earth (CURME) / Greater Richmond Interfaith Program	Lots of Crops	No	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 818.18	\$ 2,836.36	\$ 11,345.45	Selected organization(s) may be asked to submit scaled-back Scope of Work describing what element(s) of their selected project they are proposing to complete with the amount available.
Urban Tilth	Cultivating Hope: Maintaining North Richmond Gardens	Yes	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 818.18	\$ 2,836.36	\$ 11,345.45	
Neighborhood House of North Richmond	North Richmond Native Plant Communities Garden Project: Gardent Care Team	Yes	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 545.45	\$ 1,890.91	\$ 7,563.64	
Davis Chapel	DCNET Community Garden	Yes	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 545.45	\$ 1,890.91	\$ 7,563.64	
Contra Costa County Service Integration Team (SIT) / Community Housing Development Corporation (CHDC)	Contra Costa County Service Integration, Family Service Center, Build Men and Women	No	\$ 10,500.50	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 272.73	\$ 945.45	\$ 3,781.82	
Total Funding Requested/Allocated			\$ 90,500.50	\$ 55,000.00	3,000.00	10,400.00	\$ 41,600.00	

¹ Costs to have 3rd party organization (CHDC) manage and oversee contracts with Organizations selected for funding is up to twenty (20) percent (%) of award amount after first taking out City/County Contracting cost of \$3,000 for City/County to contract directly with CHDC to have CHDC administer non-profit contracts.

Attachment 3 - Community Based Projects Table (Strategy 9)

Obligated funding allocated for Community Based Projects in the 2015/16 Expenditure Plan recommended to be included in the 2016/2017 Expenditure Plan to allow completion of work beyond June 30, 2016.

Community Based Projects Carried Over From 2014/2015 and 2015/16 Expenditure Plans													
Organization / Fiscal Sponsor (if applicable)	Project Title	Advance Payment Allowed (Up to 10% of Award)	Total Requested Amount	Non-Profit Award for Project	County Contracting Cost with CHDC	County Contracting Cost Not Spent moved to Unobligated Funds ²	CHDC Contracting Cost (20%) to Manage Non-Profits	CHDC Contracting Amount Spent/ Approved	Total for Project Award & Contract	Non-Profit Award Amount Spent/Invoices Approved	Non-profit Amount Remaining to be Spent	Total Amount Remaining to be Spent	Notes
McGlothen Temple Educational Community Center	McGlothen Temple Educational Community Center	No	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$674.89	\$325.60	\$5,000.00	\$2,394.80	\$25,674.89	\$5,022.13	\$14,977.87	\$17,932.36	Same projects were awarded for 2014/15 and 2015/16 Expenditure Plans. Amounts shown are totals from both fiscal years. Projects are expected to continue through the 2016/17 Expenditure Plan
Neighborhood House of North Richmond (NHNR)	North Richmond Green Team	Yes	\$50,000.00	\$37,202.78	\$1,257.77	\$578.64	\$9,300.69	\$4,454.65	\$47,761.24	\$16,455.45	\$20,747.33	\$26,272.50	
Reach Fellowship International	Reach Clean Up Initiative	Yes	\$50,000.00	\$37,202.78	\$1,257.77	\$578.64	\$9,300.69	\$4,454.65	\$47,761.24	\$11,732.47	\$25,470.31	\$30,995.48	
Contra Costa County Service Integration Team (SIT)/North Richmond Economic Development Corporation	Contra Costa County Service Integration, Family Service Center, Build Men and Women	No	\$19,428.00	\$19,428.00	\$655.59	\$316.30	\$4,857.00	\$2,326.31	\$24,940.59	\$7,057.68	\$12,370.32	\$15,240.31	
The Remember Us People Project (TRUPP) / Self-Sustaining Communities	Beautification, food and community building project	Yes	\$50,000.00	\$37,202.78	\$1,257.77	\$578.64	\$9,300.69	\$4,454.65	\$47,761.24	\$15,703.31	\$21,499.47	\$27,024.64	
2014/15 & 2015/16 Community Based Project SUBTOTAL:			\$ 189,428.00	\$ 151,036.34	\$ 5,103.79	\$2,377.81	\$ 37,759.07	\$18,085.07	\$ 193,899.20	\$ 55,971.04	\$ 95,065.30	\$117,465.28	
Total Obligated Community Based Project Funding to be Carried Over Into 2016/2017 EP¹												\$117,465.28	

¹ Amount shown is the total of Community-Based Projects funding for Strategy 9 not spent in the previous 2014/15 and 2015/2016 Expenditure Plans carried over into the 2016/17 Expenditure Plan.

² County contracting cost from 2014/2015 Expenditure Plan for Community-Based Projects was not needed and therefore rolled over into the 2016/17 EP budget as unobligated funds. See Summary of Expenditures for 2014/15 EP Close-out for details from February 2016 Committee Meeting.

Attachment 5 - Neighborhood Community Garden Projects (Strategy 12)

Obligated funding allocated for Community Garden Projects in the 2015/16 Expenditure Plan recommended to be included in the 2016/2017 Expenditure Plan to allow completion of work beyond June 30, 2016.

Community Garden Projects Carried Over From 2014/2015 and 2015/16 Expenditure Plans												
Implementing Entity / Fiscal Sponsor (if applicable)	Project Title	Requested Amount	Non-Profit Implementer Award Amount for Project	County Contracting Cost with CHDC¹	County Contracting Cost Not Spent moved to Unobligated Funds	CHDC Contracting Cost (20%) to Manage Non-Profits	CHDC Contracting Amount Spent/ Approved	Total Project Award & Contracting Costs	Non-Profit Award Amount Spent/Invoices Approved	Non-profit Amount Remaining to be Spent	Amount Remaining to be Spent	Notes
Communities United Restoring Mother Earth (CURME) / Greater Richmond Interfaith Program	Lots of Crops	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 24,441.52	\$ 1,404.61	\$ 315.03	\$ 6,110.38	\$ 2,763.03	\$ 31,956.51	\$ 10,184.32	\$ 14,257.20	\$ 18,694.12	Selected organization(s) may be asked to submit scaled-back Scope of Work describing what element(s) of their selected project they are proposing to complete with the amount available.
Urban Tilth	Cultivating Hope: Maintaining North Richmond Gardens	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 1,086.97	\$ 299.89	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 2,260.93	\$ 26,086.97	\$ 12,517.58	\$ 7,482.42	\$ 11,008.56	
Davis Chapel Neighborhood Enhancement Team (DCNET)	Davis Chapel A. Moore NR Community Garden	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 24,441.52	\$ 1,404.61	\$ 315.03	\$ 6,110.38	\$ 2,763.03	\$ 31,956.51	\$ 8,215.49	\$ 16,226.03	\$ 20,662.95	
2014/15 & 2015/16 Community Garden Project SUBTOTAL:		\$ 100,000.00	68,883.04	3,896.19	929.96	17,220.76	\$7,787.00	\$ 89,999.99	\$ 30,917.39	\$ 37,965.65	\$ 50,365.64	
Total Obligated Community Garden Project Funding to be Carried Over Into 2016/2017 EP ¹											\$ 50,365.64	

¹ Costs to have 3rd party organization (CHDC) manage and oversee contracts with Organizations selected for funding is up to twenty (20) percent (%) of award amount after first taking out City/County Contracting cost of \$3,000 for City/County to contract directly with CHDC to have CHDC administer non-profit

² County contracting cost from 2014/2015 Expenditure Plan for Community-Based Projects was not needed and therefore rolled over into the 2016/17 EP budget as unobligated funds. See Summary of Expenditures for 2014/15 EP Close-out for details from February 2016 Committee Meeting.

North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee 2016/17 Expenditure Plan

The Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee was established as a result of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) dated November 2003 for the WCCSL Bulk Materials Processing Center (BMPC) and Related Actions (Project). The Project involved new and expanded processing and resource recovery operations on both the incorporated and unincorporated area of the Project site, which the EIR concluded would impact the host community. To mitigate this impact Mitigation Measure 4-5 called for a Mitigation Fee to benefit the host community, described as follows:

“Mitigation Fee. The facility operator shall pay a Mitigation Fee of an amount to be determined by the applicable permitting authority(ies) **to defray annual costs associated with collection and disposal of illegally dumped waste and associated impacts in North Richmond and adjacent areas.** The mitigation fee should be subject to the joint-control of the City and County and should be collected on all solid waste and processible materials received at the facility consistent with the existing mitigation fee collected at the Central IRRF.”

In July 2004, the City of Richmond and Contra Costa County entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreeing to jointly administer Mitigation Fee monies collected from the BMPC for the benefit of the incorporated and unincorporated North Richmond area. This North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee Joint Expenditure Planning Committee (Committee) was formed pursuant to the terms of the MOU for the specific purpose of preparing a recommended Expenditure Plan. This Expenditure Plan provides a means to jointly administer the Mitigation Fee funding for the benefit of the host community, as described in the EIR. The Expenditure Plan is subject to final approval of the Richmond City Council and the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors.

By approving this Expenditure Plan, the City Council and Board of Supervisors authorize the use of Mitigation Fee funding for only the purposes and in the amounts specified herein. The City and County have each designated their respective staff persons responsible for administering the development and implementation of the approved Expenditure Plan, which includes responsibility for drafting and interpreting Expenditure Plan language. However, the City and County have not delegated to the Committee or to staff the authority to expend funding for purposes not clearly identified in the Expenditure Plan document officially approved by their respective decision-making bodies.

Activities which can be funded in this Expenditure Plan period with the Mitigation Fee amounts specified within this Expenditure Plan are described herein as “Strategies” or “Staff Costs”. Strategies are categorized as either “Core Services” or “Supplemental Enhancements”. Core Services includes the higher funding priority strategies that most directly address the intended purpose of this City/County approved Mitigation Fee, *“to defray annual costs associated with collection and disposal of illegally dumped waste and associated impacts in North Richmond”*.

All references to the “Mitigation Fee Primary Funding Area” or “Mitigation Fee Funding Area” pertain to the geographic area shown in the attached map (Attachment 6).

Expenditure Plan Period:	July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 <i>(unless otherwise specified herein)</i>
---------------------------------	--

BUDGET

The funding allocation amounts included in this document apply to the Expenditure Plan Period specified on the first page unless otherwise specified herein. The total amount of funding allocated in the Expenditure Plan Budget is based on revenue projections provided by the BMPC operator, Republic Service, which are dependant upon multiple variables (e.g. number of tons of recovered materials vs. solid waste, per ton gate rate charged and amount of CPI-adjusted per ton Mitigation Fee). Actual Mitigation Fee revenue may deviate from revenue projections provided by Republic and used to prepare this Budget. A "Contingency" line item is included in the Budget to help accommodate variations between projected and actual revenue. Excess funding allocated to strategies and not expended by the end of each Expenditure Plan period is treated as "roll-over" funding for reallocation in a subsequent Expenditure Plan period.

The Budget includes some line items that are based on fixed costs, however there are other line items which are scalable and/or dependant on utilization thereby providing flexibility to reallocate amounts if and when a significant need is identified. Allocated funding may remain unspent due to under-utilization of a particular program. If the amount allocated to a particular line item is determined to exceed needs based upon usage, the remaining funding can only be reallocated by officially amending the Expenditure Plan. This Expenditure Plan may only be adjusted upon official action taken by both the City and County. Although there has been some interest in allowing flexibility for staff to adjust funding allocations under specific circumstances, the authority to approve or modify the Expenditure Plan rests solely with the City Council and Board of Supervisors.

Annual fiscal year Expenditure Plan cycle is expected to reduce margin of error of Mitigation Fee revenue projects, streamline financial reconciliation/budgeting process and minimize need to amend Expenditure Plans mid-cycle. Amending Expenditure Plans involve administrative burden and costs due to the joint approval needed from both the Richmond City Council and County Board of Supervisors. In order to minimize the amount of funding needed to cover staff costs incurred to amend the Expenditure Plan, staff will only recommend changes to the Expenditure Plan when necessary to address a significant and time-sensitive need.

**FINAL BUDGET TO BE
INSERTED HERE AS
RECOMMENDED BY
COMMITTEE**

2016/2017 Expenditure Plan - North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee

DESCRIPTION OF STRATEGIES RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING

Funding allocation amounts for each strategy are specified in the Budget table on page 3. The following Strategies describe the activities allowed to be funded with the amounts allocated to each in the Budget (associated allowable agency staff costs are described in the Staff Costs section). Strategies are grouped based on relative funding priority levels and the “Core Services” category contains higher priority Strategies than the “Supplemental Enhancements” category. Higher funding priority Strategies are those which best address the Fee’s intended purpose, **“to defray annual costs associated with collection and disposal of illegally dumped waste and associated impacts in North Richmond”**) and “Supplemental Enhancements”.

Level 1 Priority - PRIMARY CORE SERVICES STRATEGIES

- 1 - Bulky Item Pick-ups & Disposal Vouchers
- 2 - Neighborhood Clean-up Events
- 4 - City/County Right-of-Way Trash & Tagging Removal
- 5 - Code Enforcement - County
- 6 - Illegal Dumping Law Enforcement

Level 2 Priority - SECONDARY CORE SERVICES STRATEGIES

- 3 - Prevention Services Coordinator
- 7 - Surveillance Cameras

Level 3 Priority - PRIMARY SUPPLEMENTAL ENHANCEMENTS STRATEGIES

- 8 - Community Services Coordinator
- 9 - Community Based Projects (SOME)
- 11 - North Richmond Green Campaign
- 12 – Neighborhood Community Garden Project(s)

Level 4 Priority - SECONDARY SUPPLEMENTAL ENHANCEMENTS STRATEGIES

- 9 - Community Based Projects (SOME)
- 10 - North Richmond Green Community Service Programs

CORE SERVICES

1. Bulky Item Pick-ups & Disposal Vouchers

Provide residents in the Mitigation Fee Primary Funding Area, who prove eligibility consistent with City/County procedures, with the option of choosing to:

- Request up to one on-call pick-up service per household per calendar year for bulky items that are not accepted in the current on-call clean-ups through Richmond Sanitary Service (RSS), only available to those with an active account with RSS; or
- Request up to twelve \$5 vouchers per household for disposal at Republic’s transfer station on Parr Blvd. per calendar year (vouchers expire after six months, Mitigation Fees only pay for vouchers that are actually redeemed).

[See “Staff Costs” section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

2016/2017 Expenditure Plan - North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee

Administering Agency: City of Richmond

Implementing Entity(ies):

Community Housing Development Corporation (*processes requests and issues Disposal Vouchers/arranges Bulky Item Pick-ups*)

Republic Services - Golden Bear Transfer Station & Richmond Sanitary Service (*reimbursed for Disposal Vouchers redeemed and Bulky Item Pick-ups provided*)

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Effective July 1, 2012, CHDC and Republic Services shall provide required data pertinent to Strategy 1 based upon the strategy-specific invoicing/reporting parameters and schedule developed/maintained by Committee Staff in order to receive NRMF-funded payments.

2. Neighborhood Clean-ups

Provide at least one neighborhood and/or creek clean-up event in the Mitigation Fee Funding Area; additional clean-up event may be scheduled as funding allows. [See "Staff Costs" section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agency: City of Richmond

Implementing Entity(ies):

City Manager's Office (*coordinates scheduling of clean-up dates and associated arrangements in conjunction with partner entities*)

Republic Services - Richmond Sanitary Service (*reimbursed for providing/servicing clean-up boxes and disposing of debris placed in clean-up boxes*)

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Effective July 1, 2012, the City Manager's Office and Republic Services shall provide required data pertinent to Strategy 2 based upon the strategy-specific invoicing/reporting parameters and schedule developed/maintained by Committee Staff in order to receive NRMF-funded payments (funding transfers).

3. Prevention Services Coordinator

Fund at least a portion of a Prevention Services Coordinator (PSC) position (including salary/benefits/overhead and administering agency contracting charge¹) on a contract basis to assist the City and County in implementing Strategy 1 as the point of contact for community members interested in claiming Disposal Vouchers or Bulky-Item Pick ups. Assist community members interested in reporting illegal dumping and seeking referral/resources. Track and report data related to illegally dumped waste collected by Republic Services Hot Spot Crew and handle associated referrals to applicable public agencies, including right-of-way referrals for Strategy 4.

¹ Administering agency contracting charge applies (\$3,000 per contract)

2016/2017 Expenditure Plan - North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee

[See "Staff Costs" section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agency: City of Richmond

Implementing Entity: Community Housing Development Corporation (CHDC)
(reimbursed actual cost for part-time position and issues
Disposal Vouchers/arranges Bulky Item Pick-ups)

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Effective July 1, 2012, CHDC shall provide required data pertinent to Strategy 1 and Strategy 3 based upon the strategy-specific invoicing/reporting parameters and schedule developed/maintained by Committee Staff in order to receive NRMF-funded payments.

4. City/County Right-of-Way Pick-up & Tagging Abatement

Fund consolidated pick-up program (including personnel, mileage, equipment rental and administrative costs) for removal of illegal dumping and tagging abatement* in the public right-of-way located within the unincorporated & incorporated Mitigation Fee Primary Funding Area. Removal of illegal dumping is intended to occur based upon referrals from the Prevention Services Coordinator for items/debris not collected by the designated Republic Services Hot Spot Route crew.

* Allocation of funding under this Strategy for this Expenditure Plan cycle is primarily intended to cover the cost incurred for City/County Right-of-Way Pick-up activities throughout the Primary Funding Area. Funds for Tagging Abatement were not allocated in this Expenditure Plan cycle.

[See "Staff Costs" section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agency: City of Richmond

Implementing Entity: Richmond Police Department's Code Enforcement Division

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Effective July 1, 2012, the Richmond Police Department's Code Enforcement Division shall provide required data pertinent to Strategy 4 based upon the strategy-specific invoicing/reporting parameters and schedule developed/maintained by Committee Staff in order to receive NRMF-funded payments (funding transfers).

5. Code Enforcement Staff - County

Fund at least a portion of County code enforcement position (including salary/benefits and related vehicle and equipment costs), to assist with vacant/abandoned lot abatements and fencing as well as other health/building/zoning violations related to illegal dumping and blight throughout the unincorporated Mitigation Funding Area.

[See "Staff Costs" section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agency: Contra Costa County

Implementing Entity: County Department of Conservation & Development's Building Inspection Division

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Effective July 1, 2012, the County Department of Conservation & Development's Building Inspection Division shall provide required data pertinent to Strategy 5 based upon the strategy-specific invoicing/reporting parameters and schedule developed/maintained by Committee Staff in order to receive NRMF-funded payments (funding transfers).

6. Illegal Dumping Law Enforcement

Fund majority of a full-time Sheriff Deputy (between 90-100% of salary/benefits, overtime, uniform and related cell phone, equipment, and vehicle costs) to assist with law enforcement investigations and patrols to combat illegal dumping within the Mitigation Fee Primary Funding Area.

[See "Staff Costs" section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agency: Contra Costa County

Implementing Entity: County Sheriff's Office

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Effective July 1, 2012, the County Sheriff's Office shall provide required data pertinent to this Strategy based upon the strategy-specific invoicing/reporting parameters and schedule developed/maintained by Committee Staff in order to receive NRMF-funded payments (funding transfers).

7. Surveillance Cameras

Fund the purchase of cameras, camera infrastructure, camera signage and costs related to maintenance, warranty, repair & relocation of surveillance camera system equipment within the Mitigation Fee Primary Funding Area to assist the dedicated Illegal Dumping Law Enforcement officer in targeting specific locations where illegal dumping occurs most regularly.

[See "Staff Costs" section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agency: Contra Costa County

Implementing Entity(ies):

Richmond Police Department (*operate, move and maintain eight Pan-Tilt-Zoom wireless video surveillance cameras and associated camera system infrastructure throughout NR -AND- install/clean/move FlashCam cameras located within the incorporated NR area if funding is available*)

County Sheriff's Department (*coordinate monitoring of FlashCams located throughout NR and identify/request relocation of surveillance cameras throughout NR as needed*)

County Public Works Department (*install/clean/move FlashCam cameras located within the unincorporated NR area upon request if funding is available*)

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Effective July 1, 2012, each Implementing Entity shall provide required data pertinent to each entity's applicable Strategy 8 responsibilities based upon the strategy-specific invoicing/reporting parameters and schedule developed/maintained by Committee Staff in order to receive NRMF-funded payments (funding transfers) now or in the future.

SUPPLEMENTAL ENHANCEMENTS

8. Community Services Coordinator

Fund at least a portion of a Community Services Coordinator (CSC) position to be staffed on a contract basis (including salary/benefits/overhead and administering agency contracting charge²). The CSC shall:

- serve as a link between the community of North Richmond, the City of Richmond, and Contra Costa County for issues related to beautification, illegal dumping, and blight;
- coordinate outreach activities related to illegal dumping and beautification within the Primary Funding area, as specified by the City/County, including North Richmond Green community service programs and outreach activities described under Strategies 10 & 11; and
- be bilingual in order to assist with Spanish translation as needed.

[See "Staff Costs" section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agency: City of Richmond

Implementing Entity: Neighborhood House of North Richmond (NHNR).

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Effective July 1, 2012, NHNR shall provide required data pertinent to Strategies 8, 10 & 11 based upon the strategy-specific invoicing/reporting parameters and schedule developed/maintained by Committee Staff in order to receive NRMF-funded payments.

9. Community Based Projects

Fund the development, implementation and oversight of a variety of community-based projects with specific focuses on anti-littering, environmental stewardship, blight reduction and/or beautification (including personnel/labor, administrative oversight, materials, equipment and related maintenance costs plus administering agency contracting charges³). Rather than funding stipend programs separately (including stipends, administrative oversight and related materials/equipment), new community-based projects/programs should include component for stipends, where appropriate, to pay local youth and/or other community members for assisting with illegal dumping prevention/abatement or beautification activities within the Mitigation Fee Primary Funding Area. Community Based Projects to be funded were solicited through an open Funding Request Proposal & Application process. Examples of potential project types that may be funded include but are not limited to:

²Administering agency contracting charge is \$3,000 per contract.

³Administering agency contracting charge is \$3,000 per contract if directly contracting with City or County.

2016/2017 Expenditure Plan - North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee

- a. Neighborhood Landscaping Improvements
- b. Community Art Projects (e.g. Tile Art, Murals or Safe Routes/Popsicle Project)
- c. Stipend Beautification Programs

Details, including recommended allocation amounts, for each of the **selected** Community Based Projects to be funded under this Expenditure Plan are contained in the Community Based Projects Tables included as Attachments 2 & 3. Funding for carry-over Projects in Attachment 3 is not included in the amount listed under Strategy 9 in the Budget.

[See "Staff Costs" section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agencies: Contra Costa County and City of Richmond and/or Community Housing Development Corporation (CHDC) on behalf of the City or County. CHDC may, under contract with either the City or County as a Administering Agency, administer Community Based Project contracts funded under this Strategy for some or all of the new Community Based Projects selected for funding in the 2016/2017 Expenditure Plan cycle. CHDC shall use no more than twenty (20) percent (%) of the total amount awarded to each Community-Based Project (after subtracting City/County contracting cost) listed in Attachment 2 to oversee project implementation, including facilitating review/assessment of reports' and deliverables. Payments to Implementing Entities for Community-Based Projects shall not be issued by CHDC without the written approval of City and County Committee Staff.

Implementing Entity: Various Non-Profit Organizations (see Community Based Projects Tables in Attachments 2 and 3)

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Any Community Based Project contracts issued or amended by the City/County shall incorporate Reporting & Invoicing Requirements generally consistent with those shown in Attachment 1. Community-Based Project contracts being administered by CHDC on behalf of either the City or County shall also incorporate Reporting and Invoicing Requirements generally consistent with those shown in Attachment 1. Attachment 1 only applies to Community-Based Project contracts with the Implementing Entities. The City and/or County will issue advance payments to CHDC, as needed, to ensure there is adequate funding available to payments requested by Implementing Entities if and when authorized by City and County Staff. Additionally, CHDC would be subject to contractual payment and reporting provisions that differ from those in Attachment 1 due to the nature of the services to be provided.

10. North Richmond Green Community Services Programs

Fund the following North Richmond Green programs on a contract basis⁴ to the extent the specific details submitted are determined to align with the purpose of the Mitigation Fee and Expenditure Plan:

- *NR Little League Baseball Program* - Includes cost of registration and uniforms with customized North Richmond Green patches for up to 5-6

⁴ Administering agency contracting charge applies (\$3,000 per contract)

2016/2017 Expenditure Plan - North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee

teams, season kick-off event/parade, equipment, stipends for game monitoring and oversight, food and transportation.

- *NR Adult Softball program* - Includes cost of registration, jerseys with North Richmond Green patches and hats for the men's and women's team.
- *NR Youth Twilight Basketball Program* - Includes cost of registration and uniforms with North Richmond Green patches for up to 5-6 teams, equipment, stipends for game monitoring and oversight, food and transportation.
- *NR Youth Eco Academy* - Youth projects to include school gardens, recycling efforts, habitat restoration, creek/bay/ocean water quality monitoring, beach/creek/neighborhood clean-ups and ecological field trips. May fund the cost of materials, transportation and fees associated with pre-approved community beautification projects such landscaping and murals.

[See "Staff Costs" section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agency: City of Richmond & Contra Costa County

Implementing Entity: Neighborhood House of North Richmond (NHNR).

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Effective July 1, 2012, NHNR shall provide required data pertinent to Strategies 8, 10 & 11 based upon the strategy-specific invoicing/reporting parameters and schedule developed/maintained by Committee Staff in order to receive NRMF-funded payments.

11. North Richmond Green Campaign

Fund the design, printing and/or distribution of education and outreach materials on a contract basis⁴ which must align with the purpose of the Mitigation Fee and Expenditure Plan and be pre-approved by Committee Staff. Outreach materials must include "Jointly funded by City of Richmond & Contra Costa County" unless otherwise specified herein. Outreach materials may be any of the types specified below, however must clearly intend to directly:

- Inform the community about Mitigation Fee funded programs/efforts,
- Increase participation in Mitigation funded programs/efforts,
- Reduce illegal dumping and blight in the Mitigation Fee Funding Area, and/or
- Promote beautification in the Mitigation Fee Funding Area.

The following type of outreach material expenditures may be funded if reviewed and pre-approved by Committee Staff:

- **STIPENDS** – Pay local community members (youth and adults) to distribute printed outreach materials door-to-door to promote mitigation-funded strategies (*Jointly Funded text not applicable to stipend expenses, only materials*)
- **HANDOUTS/MAILERS** – Newsletters, flyers, brochures or other documents intended to be handed out or mailed to local residents/organizations.
- **T-SHIRTS** - Shirts shall include the NRGreen.org website to encourage people to learn more about Mitigation funded programs/efforts (*local phone number should also be included when possible, however inclusion of Jointly Funded text may not be required*)

2016/2017 Expenditure Plan - North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee

- NR GREEN FESTIVAL – Event held once per year and generally include information booths to raise awareness about mitigation-funded efforts and other local beautification efforts as well as fun activities for kids and food. Materials promoting the event shall include the NRGreen.org website as well as a local phone number.
- SIGNAGE – Printed or manufactured signage, which includes promotional banners for local events/parades, which should include the NRGreen.org website for Community members to learn more about Mitigation funded programs/efforts. Repair, replacement and removal of NRMF-funded Light Pole Banners.

[See “Staff Costs” section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agency: City of Richmond & Contra Costa County

Implementing Entity: Neighborhood House of North Richmond (NHNR).

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Effective July 1, 2012, NHNR shall provide required data pertinent to Strategies 8, 10 & 11 based upon the strategy-specific invoicing/reporting parameters and schedule developed/maintained by Committee Staff in order to receive NRMF-funded payments.

12. Neighborhood Community Garden Project(s)

Fund on-going maintenance and up-keep of existing community gardens within the Primary Funding Area, which may include a component for stipends, where appropriate, to pay local youth and/or other community members for assisting with Community Garden upkeep and maintenance.

Neighborhood Community Garden Projects to be funded were solicited through an open Funding Request Proposal & Application process. Projects selected under this Strategy could be funded on an on-going basis if separately awarded funding in multiple Expenditure Plan cycles.

Details, including recommended allocation amounts, for each of the selected Neighborhood Community Garden Projects are included in Attachment 4.

[See “Staff Costs” section for agency activities that may also be funded under this Strategy.]

Administering Agencies: Contra Costa County, City of Richmond and/or Community Housing Development Corporation (CHDC)⁵ on behalf of the City or County. CHDC may, under contract with either the City or County as the Administering Agency, administer Neighborhood Community Garden Project contracts being funded under this Strategy for some or all of the Neighborhood Community Garden Project non-profit organizations selected for funding in the 2016/2017 Expenditure Plan cycle. CHDC shall use no more than twenty (20) percent (%) of the total amount awarded to each Project to oversee project implementation, including facilitating review/assessment of reports and deliverables. Payments to Implementing Entities for Neighborhood Community

⁵ Administering agency contracting charge applies (\$3,000 per contract) with the County or City

2016/2017 Expenditure Plan - North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee

Garden Projects shall not be issued by CHDC without the written approval of both City and County Committee Staff.

Implementing Entity: Various Non-Profit Organizations (see Neighborhood Community Garden Projects Table in Attachment 4)

Reporting/Payment Requirements: Any Neighborhood Community Garden Project contracts issued or amended by the City/County shall incorporate Reporting & Invoicing Requirements generally consistent with those shown in Attachment 1. Neighborhood Community Garden Project contracts being administered by CHDC on behalf of either the City or County shall also incorporate Reporting & Invoicing Requirements generally consistent with those shown in Attachment 1. Attachment 1 only applies to the Neighborhood Community Garden Project contracts with the Implementing Entities. CHDC would be subject to contractual payment and reporting provisions that differ from those in Attachment 1 due to the nature of the services to be provided. The City and/or County will issue advance payments to CHDC, as needed, to ensure there is adequate funding available to payments requested by Implementing Entities if and when authorized by City and County Staff.

STAFF COSTS

Committee Administration/Staffing Funding: The funding allocated for Committee Administration/Staffing may not be adequate to cover the full cost of staff time necessary for jointly staffing the North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee Joint Expenditure Planning Committee as well as developing, administering and overseeing this Expenditure Plan for the specified period. Supplemental funding allocation may be necessary upon determining actual costs exceed the amount budgeted to cover the intended City/County costs for joint staffing. **If funding is available, Committee staff may use a portion of funds to create an online platform to streamline the contracting process for Strategies 9 and 12 in the Expenditure Plan.**

Strategy-Specific Funding: The cost of City/County staff time spent providing direct implementation assistance and/or coordination for specific Strategies may be covered with a portion of the NRMF funding budgeted for each applicable Strategy. Additionally, a portion of the NRMF funding budgeted for Strategies will be used to pay fixed administering agency contracting charge for each applicable contract (\$3,000 per contract) unless otherwise specified herein.

Community-Based Project & Neighborhood Community Garden Project Reporting and Invoicing Requirements

Substantially equivalent language to be included in all NRMF-funded Community Project Agreements/Amendments

Contractor shall submit Progress Reports, using attached City/County provided template, in conjunction with each invoice covering the period since last report/invoice submitted, consistent with the Payment Provisions (Specify the Section of the Service Plan of the Agreement).

Contractor shall monitor, document, and report all Participants activities and other costs for which reimbursement will be requested. Upon completion of work, Contractor shall submit a Final Report, using attached City/County provided template, in conjunction with the final invoice.

Authorized Advance Payments: In order to receive any potential payment in advance, such must be authorized for the specified Project in Attachment 2 of the Expenditure Plan approved by both the County Board of Supervisors and Richmond City Council. No Contractor authorized for advance payment may receive more than ten (10) percent (%) of the approved Implementing Entity Award for this Project. In order to seek potential payment in advance, the Contractor shall submit a written request to both the City and County Committee Staff detailing the reason(s) advance payment is necessary and the amount of funding requested in advance (not to exceed 10% of total award) specifying which applicable allowable expenses would be covered by such payment.

Contractor shall submit invoices and required supporting documentation requesting reimbursement for allowed costs in the Budget contained in the “Eligible Costs” Section, which together may not total more than \$ (enter applicable contract amount).

1. **Invoices:** Invoices shall contain the following information in sufficient detail and be submitted in a form which adequately demonstrates consistency with this Service Plan. Invoices shall be accompanied by the applicable Required Supporting Documentation described in the following subsection.
 - a. Number of hours per staff member being billed for which stipends have been paid,
 - b. Number of hours Contractor staff performed work per Task described herein at the rates allowed in the “Eligible Costs” Section, and
 - c. Separately identify number of hours spent attending North Richmond Green Meetings (Attendance Required at least Quarterly).
 - d. Itemization of any other direct costs (e.g. supplies, travel, operating expenses, etc.) incurred for which reimbursement is being requested within that invoice period.
2. **Required Supporting Documentation:** The following Required Supporting Documentation must be submitted with invoices when applicable as described below.
 - a. Every invoice must be accompanied by a Progress Report, with the exception of the final invoice which must be accompanied by a Final Report. Both types of Reports must contain all of the information specified in the City/County provided Report templates.
 - b. If stipends are included in an invoice, such invoice must be accompanied by copies of Interns daily logs or timesheets covering all stipend hours for which reimbursement is being requested.
 - c. If staff time is included in an invoice, such invoice must be accompanied by copies of timesheets covering all staff hours for which reimbursement is being requested.

- d. If an invoice is requesting reimbursement of any other direct costs (any costs other than staff time or stipends), such invoice must be accompanied by copies of actual itemized invoices or receipts for all applicable direct costs (bus transportation or curriculum materials). If an invoice is requesting reimbursement for copying or printing, at least one copy of the printed item should accompany the invoice.


City/County shall review submitted invoices and supporting documentation within a reasonable period of time and remit payment to Contractor promptly upon determining the purpose and amount of payment requested are authorized under this Agreement.

G:\Conservation\Deidra\Illegal Dumping\BMPC Mitigation Fee Committee\Meetings\2015 Meetings\05-29-2015\NRMF 2015-16 Exp Plan Attachment 1.doc

North Richmond Waste & Recovery Mitigation Fee Funding Area

 Incorporated (City)

 Unincorporated (County)



Contra Costa County
Community Development Department

*Southern boundary
shown on back side*

Map contains copyrighted information
Revised on 7/28/2006

Committee Approved Additions to Primary Mitigation Funding Area

