

3—TERMINOLOGY, APPROACH, AND ASSUMPTIONS

This chapter provides an overview of the terminology, approach, and assumptions underlying the following topic-specific sections of this Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR). Included in this section is an overview of the terminology used, project analysis, organization of the sections, and methods for determining what impacts are significant.

3.1 TERMINOLOGY

To assist reviewers in understanding this Draft EIR, the following terms are defined:

- *Project* means the whole of an action that has the potential for resulting in a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment.
- *Environment* means the physical conditions that exist in the area and that will be affected by a proposed project, including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of historical or aesthetic significance. The area involved is where significant direct or indirect impacts would occur as a result of the project. The environment includes both natural and human-made (artificial) conditions.
- *Impacts* analyzed under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) must be related to a physical change. Impacts are:
 - direct or primary impacts that would be caused by a proposed project and would occur at the same time and place; or
 - indirect or secondary impacts that would be caused by a proposed project and would be later in time or farther removed in distance but would still be reasonably foreseeable. Indirect or secondary impacts may include growth-inducing impacts and other effects related to induced changes in the pattern of land use; population density or growth rate; and related effects on air and water and other natural systems, including ecosystems.
- *Significant impact on the environment* means a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions in the area affected by a proposed project, including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of historical or aesthetic significance. An economic or social change by itself is not considered a significant impact on the environment. A social or economic change related to a physical change may be considered in determining whether the physical change is significant.
- *Mitigation* consists of measures that avoid or substantially reduce a proposed project's significant environmental impacts by:
 - avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
 - minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation;
 - rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment;
 - reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action; or
 - compensating for the impact by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments.
- *Cumulative impacts* are two or more individual impacts that, when considered together, are considerable or that compound or increase other environmental impacts. The following statements also apply when considering cumulative impacts:

- The individual impacts may be changes resulting from a single project or separate projects.
- The cumulative impact from several projects is the change in the environment that results from the incremental impact of the project when added to other closely related past, present, and reasonably foreseeable probable future projects. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant projects taking place over time.
- *Threshold of significance* is a criterion established by the lead agency to identify at what level an impact would be considered significant. A criterion is defined by a lead agency based on examples found in CEQA or the CEQA Guidelines, scientific and factual data relative to the lead agency jurisdiction, views of the public in affected areas, the policy/regulatory environment of affected jurisdictions, and other factors.

This Draft EIR uses a variety of terms to describe the level of significance of adverse impacts. These terms are defined as follows:

- *No impact*. The project would have no direct or indirect effects on the environmental resource issue.
- *Less than significant*. An impact that is adverse but that does not exceed the defined thresholds of significance. Less than significant impacts do not require mitigation.
- *Potentially significant*. An impact that would be considered a significant impact as described above; however, the occurrence of the impact cannot be immediately determined with certainty. For CEQA purposes, a potentially significant impact is treated in this Draft EIR as if it were a significant impact and mitigation measures are recommended, when feasible, to avoid or reduce potentially significant impacts.
- *Significant*. An impact that exceeds the defined thresholds of significance and would or could cause a substantial adverse change in the environment. When available, mitigation measures are recommended to avoid the impact or reduce it to a less-than-significant level.
- *Significant and unavoidable*. An impact that exceeds the defined thresholds of significance and cannot be eliminated or reduced to a less-than-significant level through the implementation of feasible mitigation measures.

3.2 RESOURCE SECTION FORMAT

Each resource section follows the same format and includes the following primary subsections:

- The “**Environmental Setting**” subsections provide an overview of the existing physical environmental conditions at the time this analysis was prepared, as relevant to each resource topic. When relevant to the analysis, the “Environmental Setting” subsection also provides the environmental conditions approved under the existing reclamation plan to provide a benchmark for the impact analysis of conditions with the project.
- The “**Regulatory Setting**” subsections identify the plans, policies, laws, regulations, and ordinances that are relevant to each resource subject. This subsection describes required permits and other approvals necessary to implement the project.
- The “**Significance Criteria and Analysis Methodology**” subsections provide criteria that define when an impact would be considered significant. Criteria are based on CEQA Guidelines, scientific and factual data, views of the public in affected area(s), the policy/regulatory environment of affected jurisdictions, or other factors. The methodology for the impact analysis is also provided as relevant to each resource topic.

- The **“Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures”** subsections provide an assessment of the potential impacts of the project and specify why impacts are found to be significant and unavoidable, significant, potentially significant, or less than significant, or why there is no environmental impact. Feasible mitigation measures to avoid or reduce the severity of identified impacts follow the impact discussions. Where feasible mitigation cannot reduce impacts to a less-than-significant level, the impacts are identified as significant and unavoidable. The analysis of cumulative impacts is provided in Chapter 5, “Cumulative Impacts.”

3.3 MITIGATION MEASURES

In most cases, implementation of recommended mitigation measures would either result in complete avoidance of impacts or reduce impacts to less than significant. However, if significant and unavoidable impacts are identified that would result with implementation of the project, these impacts cannot be reduced to a less-than-significant level after application of feasible mitigation measures and alternatives. As a condition of project approval, the applicant for the proposed project would be required to implement all of the mitigation measures identified in this Draft EIR and adopted by the County.

In accordance with PRC Section 21081.6(a), the County would adopt a mitigation monitoring and reporting program (MMRP) at the time it certifies the Final EIR. The purpose of the MMRP is to ensure that the applicant would comply with the adopted mitigation measures when the project is implemented. The MMRP would identify each of the mitigation measures and describe the party responsible for monitoring, the time frame for implementation, and the program for monitoring compliance.

THIS PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK